

W. Todd Miller (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
 BAKER & MILLER PLLC
 2401 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
 Suite 300
 Washington, DC 20036
 Telephone: 202-663-7820
 Facsimile: 202-663-7849
 Email: TMiller@bakerandmiller.com

Michael Bertram McNaughton (Bar No. 168244)
 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
 425 Market Street
 26th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: 415.777.3200
 Facsimile: 415.541.9366
 Email: mmcnaughton@hansonbridgett.com

Counsel for Defendant Qantas Airways Limited

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

**IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER
 AIR TRANSPORTATION
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

No. 07-CV-5634-CRB

MDL No. 1913

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER SETTING BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE FOR
 DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT MOTIONS BASED ON
 THE FILED RATE DOCTRINE AND
 MODIFYING THE SCHEDULE FOR
 BRIEFING ON CLASS
 CERTIFICATION**

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

The parties to the within action hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Air New Zealand Ltd., Cathay Pacific Airways Ltd., China Airlines, Ltd.

1 EVA Airways Corp., Philippine Airlines, Inc., Qantas Airways Limited, Singapore Airlines
 2 Limited and Thai Airways International filed a joint brief for summary judgment based on the
 3 Filed Rate Doctrine on September 10, 2013 (Doc. 728);

4 WHEREAS, All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd. (Doc. 724), Cathay Pacific Airways Ltd.
 5 (Doc. 725), and China Airlines, Ltd. (Doc. 731), have filed individual motions for summary
 6 judgment and supporting briefs based on the Filed Rate Doctrine on September 10, 2013;

7 WHEREAS, Air New Zealand, EVA Airways, Philippine Airlines, Qantas Airways
 8 Limited, Singapore Airlines and Thai Airways International each plan to file motions for and
 9 individual briefs in support of summary judgment based on the Filed Rate Doctrine within the
 10 next three weeks;

11 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have served notices of deposition pursuant to the Federal Rules of
 12 Civil Procedure for certain of the declarants supporting the motions filed to date, and intend to
 13 serve notices of depositions for declarants proffered in support of any additional motions which
 14 are filed;

15 WHEREAS, both Defendants and Plaintiffs (“the Parties”) believe it would be more
 16 efficient for the Court to decide Defendants’ summary judgment motions based on the Filed Rate
 17 Doctrine prior to the briefing of any motion for class certification;

18 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the deadline for close of fact discovery on January 31,
 19 2014, shall not be affected by this Stipulation; and

20 WHEREAS, the time differentials between the deadlines for filing a motion for class
 21 certification, opposition thereto, the reply, and any sur-reply shall remain consistent with the
 22 previous Order entered by the Court on August 30, 2013 (Doc. 720);

23 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties agree and stipulate as follows:

- 24 1. To extend Plaintiffs’ time to respond to all of the Defendants’ motions for summary
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1 judgment based on the Filed Rate Doctrine to 60 days after Defendants' counsel notify Plaintiffs
 2 via e-mail that all of the summary judgment motions that Defendants intend to file based on the
 3 Filed Rate Doctrine have in fact been filed; such time to be moderately adjusted by agreement of
 4 the Parties should the deadline for Plaintiffs' response as set by this Paragraph fall near any
 5 major holidays, in which case the Court will be notified of the agreed-upon, adjusted response
 6 date;
 7

8 2. Defendants may submit and file replies in further support of their motions for summary
 9 judgment based on the Filed Rate Doctrine within 45 days after Plaintiffs' response to those
 10 motions is filed, or January 30, 2014, whichever date is later;
 11

12 3. The time by which Plaintiffs may submit their brief and any related expert report(s) in
 13 support of a motion for class certification shall be extended to 90 days after this Court issues an
 14 Order deciding Defendants' motions for summary judgment based on the Filed Rate Doctrine;
 15

16 4. The time by which Defendants may submit their briefs in opposition to Plaintiffs'
 17 motion for class certification and any related expert report(s) shall be extended to 180 days after
 18 Plaintiffs file their brief in support of class certification;
 19

20 5. Plaintiffs' reply brief and any reply expert report(s) in further support of their motion
 21 for class certification shall be due 60 days after Defendants file their briefs in opposition; and
 22

23 6. Defendants' sur-replies and further expert report(s) in further opposition to Plaintiffs'
 24 motion for class certification shall be due 60 days after Plaintiffs file their reply brief.¹
 25

26 7. Any party may seek a continuance of the dates set forth in this Stipulation and
 27 [Proposed] Order for good cause shown.
 28

¹ This date shall apply only if Defendants move the Court for leave to file a sur-reply and the Court grants such a motion.

1 **SO STIPULATED:**

2 **September 24, 2013**

3
4 By: /s/ Christopher Lebsack

HAUSFELD, LLP

5 Michael D. Hausfeld

6 Michael P. Lehmann

7 Christopher Lebsack

Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

8
9 By: /s/ Steven N. Williams

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY

10 Joseph W. Cotchett

11 Steven N. Williams

Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

12
13
14 By: /s/ Michael J. Holland

CONDON & FORSYTH LLP

15 Michael J. Holland

16 Jean Cooper Rose

Counsel for Defendant Air New Zealand

17
18
19 By: /s/ William R. Sherman

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

20 William R. Sherman

21 Ashley Bauer

Counsel for Defendant Singapore Airlines Ltd.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: /s/ Ankur Kapoor

CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP

Douglas E. Rosenthal

Ankur Kapoor

Alysia A. Solow

Gary J. Malone

Counsel for Defendant All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd.

By: /s/ Jesse W. Markham, Jr.

Jesse W. Markham Jr.

Counsel for Defendant All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd.

By: /s/ David H. Bamberger

DLA PIPER LLP

David H. Bamberger

Deana L. Cairo

Counsel for Defendant Cathay Pacific Airways

By: /s/ James V. Dick

SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY, LLP

James V. Dick

Counsel for Defendant China Airlines

By: /s/ Tammy A. Tsmoumas

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Tammy A. Tsmoumas

Counsel for Defendant EVA Airways

By: /s/ W. Todd Miller
BAKER & MILLER PLLC
W. Todd Miller
Kimberly N. Shaw

Counsel for Defendant Qantas Airways Limited

By: /s/ Anita Stork
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
Anita Stork

Counsel for Defendant Philippine Airlines

By: /s/ Rowan D. Wilson
CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
Rowan D. Wilson
Kavita B. Ramakrishnan

*Counsel for Defendant Thai Airways International
Public Company Limited*

IT IS SO ORDERED

October 2,
DATED: ~~September~~, 2013

